Table of Contents

58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants	
000. Legal Authority.	2
001. Title And Scope.	
002. Written Interpretations.	2
003. Administrative Appeals.	2
004. Incorporation By Reference And Availability Of Referenced Material.	2
005. Confidentiality.	2
006. Policy	2
007. Definitions.	2
008 019. (Reserved)	5
020. Priority Rating System.	
021 029. (Reserved)	
030. Project Scope And Funding.	
031 039. (Reserved)	
040. Review And Evaluation Of Grant Applications.	
041. Determination Of Eligibility Of Costs	8
042. Environmental Review.	10
043 049. (Reserved)	
050. Grant Offer And Acceptance.	
051 059. (Reserved)	
060. Payments.	12
061 079. (Reserved)	
080. Suspension Or Termination Of Grant.	
081. Waivers.	
082 999. (Reserved)	13

IDAPA 58 TITLE 01 CHAPTER 04

58.01.04 - RULES FOR ADMINISTRATION OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY GRANTS

000. LEGAL AUTHORITY.

The Idaho Board of Environmental Quality, pursuant to authority granted in Chapters 1 and Chapter 36, Title 39, Idaho Code, adopted the following rules for the administration of a Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants Program in Idaho. (4-2-08)

001. TITLE AND SCOPE.

01. Title. These rules will be known and cited as Idaho Department of Environmental Quality Rules, IDAPA 58.01.04, "Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants." (5-3-03)

02. Scope. The provisions of these rules will establish administrative procedures and requirements for establishing, implementing and administering a state grant program for providing financial assistance to qualifying entities to prepare a wastewater treatment facility planning document. (3-29-12)

002. WRITTEN INTERPRETATIONS.

As described in Section 67-5201(19)(b)(iv), Idaho Code, the Department of Environmental Quality may have written statements which pertain to the interpretation of these rules. If available, such written statements can be inspected and copied at cost at the Department of Environmental Quality, 1410 N. Hilton, Boise, Idaho 83706. (5-3-03)

003. ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS.

Persons may be entitled to appeal agency actions authorized under these rules pursuant to IDAPA 58.01.23, "Rules of Administrative Procedure Before the Board of Environmental Quality." (4-2-08)

004. INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE AND AVAILABILITY OF REFERENCED MATERIAL.

01. Incorporation by Reference. These rules do not contain documents incorporated by reference. (4-2-08)

02. Availability of Referenced Material. The "Wastewater Facilities Loan Handbook of Procedures" (Handbook) is available at the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, Water Quality Division Loan Program, 1410 N. Hilton, Boise, ID 83706-1255, (208)373-0502, www.deq.idaho.gov. (4-2-08)

005. CONFIDENTIALITY.

Information obtained by the Department under these rules is subject to public disclosure pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 1, Title 74, Idaho Code, and IDAPA 58.01.21, "Rules Governing the Protection and Disclosure of Records in the Possession of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality." (4-2-08)

006. POLICY.

It is the policy of the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality through the Department of Environmental Quality to administer the Wastewater Treatment Facility Grant Program for the purpose of protecting and enhancing the quality and value of the water resources of the state of Idaho by financially assisting in the prevention, control and abatement of water pollution. It is also the intent of the Board to assign a priority rating to those projects which will most significantly improve the quality of the waters of the state and most adequately protect the public health. (5-3-03)

007. **DEFINITIONS.**

For the purpose of the rules contained in this chapter, the following definitions apply: (12-31-91)

- **01. Applicant**. Any qualifying entity making application for wastewater treatment facility grant funds. (5-3-03)
- **02. Board**. The Idaho Board of Environmental Quality. (4-2-08)

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

03. Categorical Exclusion (CE). Category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment and for which, therefore, neither an environmental information document nor an environmental impact statement is required. (4-2-08)

04. Collector Sewer. That portion of the wastewater treatment facility whose primary purpose is to receive sewage from individual residences and other individual public or private structures and which is intended to convey wastewater to an interceptor sewer or a treatment plant. (3-15-85)

05. Department. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality. (1-3-78)

06. Director. The Director of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality or the Director's (4-2-08)

07. Domestic Wastewater. Wastewater derived from public or private residences, business buildings or institutions and similar establishments and which contains water and human body wastes, specifically excreta and urine, along with such products designed to come in contact with excreta and urine in the practice of personal hygiene. (3-15-85)

08. Eligible Costs. Costs which are necessary for planning, and/or designing wastewater treatment facilities. To be eligible, costs must also be reasonable and not ineligible costs. The determination of eligible costs shall be made by the Department pursuant to Section 041. (4-2-08)

09. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). A document prepared by the applicant when the Department determines that the proposed wastewater project will significantly affect the environment. The major purpose of the EIS will be to describe fully the significant impacts of the project and how these impacts can be either avoided or mitigated. The Environmental Review Procedures contained in Chapter 5 of the Handbook may be used as guidance when preparing the EIS. (4-2-08)

10. Environmental Information Document (EID). Any written environmental assessment prepared by the applicant describing the environmental impacts of a proposed wastewater construction project. This document will be of sufficient scope to enable the Department to assess the environmental impacts of the proposed project and ultimately determine if an environmental impact statement (EIS) is warranted. (3-29-12)

11. Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI). A document prepared by the Department presenting the reasons why an action, not otherwise excluded, will not have a significant effect on the human environment and for which an environmental impact statement (EIS) will not be prepared. It shall include the environmental information document or a summary of it and shall note any other environmental documents related to it. (3-29-12)

12.	Grant Recipient. An applicant who has been awarded a grant.	(3-29-12)
-----	---	-----------

13. Handbook. "Wastewater Facilities Loan Handbook of Procedures." (5-3-03)

14. Ineligible Costs. Costs which are not eligible for funding pursuant to these rules. (3-29-12)

15. Interceptor Sewer. That portion of the wastewater treatment facility whose primary purpose is to transport domestic sewage or nondomestic wastewater from collector sewers to a treatment plant. (3-15-85)

16. National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. Point source permitting program established pursuant to Section 402 of the federal Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. Section 1342). (5-3-03)

17. Nondomestic Wastewater. Wastewaters originating primarily from industrial or commercial processes which carry little or no pollutants of human origin. (1-1-82)

18. Operation and Maintenance Manual. A guidance and training manual delineating the optimum operation and maintenance of the wastewater treatment facility or its components. (3-29-12)

19. Person. An individual, corporation, company, association, partnership, state agency, municipality,

or federal agency (and includes officers, employees, and agents of any corporation, company, association, state agency, municipality, or federal agency). (4-2-08)

20. Planning Document. A document which describes the condition of a public wastewater system and presents a cost effective and environmentally sound alternative to achieve or maintain regulatory compliance. Engineering reports and facility plans are examples of such planning documents. The planning documents shall be prepared by or under the responsible charge of an Idaho licensed professional engineer and shall bear the imprint of the engineer's seal. Requirements for planning documents prepared using grant funds are provided in Section 030 of these rules and in the Handbook. (3-29-12)

21. Point Source. Any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit, well, discrete fissure, container, rolling stock, concentrated animal feeding operation, or vessel or other floating craft, from which pollutants are, or may be discharged. This term does not include return flows from irrigated agriculture, discharges from dams and hydroelectric generating facilities or any source or activity considered a nonpoint source by definition. (5-3-03)

22. Pollutant. Any chemical, biological, or physical substance whether it be solid, liquid, gas, or a quality thereof, which if released into the environment can, by itself or in combination with other substances, create a nuisance or render that environment harmful, detrimental, or injurious to public health, safety or welfare or to domestic, commercial, industrial, recreational, aesthetic or other beneficial uses. (3-15-85)

23. **Priority List**. A list of proposed projects rated by severity as described in Section 020. (5-3-03)

24. Qualifying Entity. Any county, city, special service district, nonprofit corporation, or other governmental entity, or a combination thereof, having authority to collect, treat or dispose of wastewater. (4-2-08)

25. Rehabilitation. The repair or replacement of limited segments of interceptor or collector sewers. (1-3-78)

26. Reserve Capacity. That portion of the treatment works that is designed and incorporated in the constructed facilities to handle future sewage flows and loadings. (1-1-82)

27. Sewer Use Ordinance/Sewer Use Resolution. An ordinance or resolution which requires new sewers and connections to be properly designed and constructed, prohibits extraneous sources of inflow and prohibits introduction of wastes into the sewer in an amount that endangers the public safety or the physical or operational integrity of the wastewater treatment facility. (4-2-08)

28. State. The state of Idaho.

29. Suspension. An action by the Director to suspend a grant contract prior to project completion for a specified cause. Suspended contracts may be reinstated. (10-6-88)

30. Sustainability. Sustainability will include efforts for energy and water conservation, extending the life of capital assets, green building practices, and other environmentally innovative approaches to infrastructure repair, replacement and improvement. (3-29-12)

31. Termination. An action by the Director to permanently terminate a grant contract prior to project completion for a specific cause. Terminated contracts will not be reinstated. (10-6-88)

32. Treatment Plant. That portion of the wastewater treatment facility whose primary purpose is to remove pollutants from domestic and nondomestic wastewater. (3-15-85)

33. User Charge System. A system of rates and service charges applicable to specific types of users, including any legal enforcement mechanism as may be required, and which provides sufficient reserves and/or revenues for debt retirement, operation and maintenance, and replacement of the wastewater treatment facility.

(4-2-08)

(3-15-85)

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

34. Wastewater. A combination of the liquid and water-carried wastes from dwellings, commercial buildings, industrial plants, institutions and other establishments, together with any groundwater, surface water and storm water that may be present; liquid and water that is physically, chemically, biologically, or rationally identifiable as containing excreta, urine, pollutants or domestic or commercial wastes; sewage. (3-15-85)

35. Wastewater Treatment Facility. Any facility, including land, equipment, furnishings and appurtenances thereof, for the purpose of collecting, treating, neutralizing or stabilizing wastewater and removing pollutants from wastewater including the treatment plant, collectors, interceptors, outfall and outlet sewers, pumping stations, sludge treatment and handling systems and land disposal systems. (10-6-88)

008. -- 019. (RESERVED)

020. PRIORITY RATING SYSTEM.

Projects are identified for placement on priority lists by surveying eligible entities directly on an annual basis. Information is also received from the Department and consulting engineers. Grant funds are awarded to projects based on priority ratings. Projects are rated by the Department on a standard priority rating form using public health, sustainability, and water quality criteria. (3-29-12)

01. Purpose. A priority rating system shall be utilized by the Department to annually allot available funds to water quality projects determined eligible for funding assistance in accordance with these rules. (3-29-12)

02. Priority Rating. The priority rating system shall be based on a numerical point system. Priority criteria shall contain the following points: (3-29-12)

a. Public health emergency or hazard certified by the Idaho Board of Environmental Quality, the Department, a District Health Department, or by a District Board of Health - one hundred fifty (150) points.

(3-29-12)

b. Regulatory compliance issues (e.g., noncompliance and resulting legal actions relating to infrastructure deficiencies at a wastewater facility) – up to one hundred (100) points. (3-29-12)

c. Watershed restoration (e.g., implementation of best management practices or initiation of construction at wastewater collection and treatment facilities as part of an approved total maximum daily load plan, implementation of nonpoint source management actions in protection of a threatened water, or is part of a special water quality effort) – up to one hundred (100) points. (3-29-12)

d. Watershed protection from impacts (e.g., improvement of beneficial use(s) in a given water body, evidence of community support, or recognition of the special status of the affected water body) – up to one hundred (100) points. (3-29-12)

e. Preventing impacts to uses (nonpoint source pollution projects) – up to one hundred (100) points. (3-29-12)

f. Sustainability efforts (e.g., prospective efforts at energy conservation, water conservation, extending the life of capital assets, green building practices, and other environmentally innovative approaches to infrastructure repair, replacement and improvement) – up to fifty (50) points. (3-29-12)

g. Affordability (current system user charges exceed state affordability guidelines) -- ten (10) points. (3-29-12)

03. Rating Forms. Rating criteria for Subsection 020.02 is set forth in a rating form that is available in (3-29-12)

04. Priority List. A list shall be developed from projects rated according to Subsection 020.02. Such list shall be submitted for public review and comment, and shall thereafter be submitted to the Board for approval. (3-29-12)

Section 030

(1)Final screening of principal alternatives and plan adoption; (4-2-08)(2)Selected plan description and implementation arrangements; (3-25-16)

- Relevant engineering data supporting the final alternative; and (3-25-16)(3)
- (4)Assessment of the cost and effectiveness, to the maximum extent practicable, of efficient water use,

The draft planning document shall include all items required by 58.01.16 "Wastewater Rules," i Subsection 411.03 or 410.04, as well as the following:

Sections 1381 et seq. The planning document must be approved by the Department.

(3-29-12)

Description of existing conditions for the proposed project area; (4-2-08)(1)

- (2)Description of future conditions for the proposed project area;
 - Development and initial screening of alternatives; and (3-29-12)(3)

Development of an environmental review specified by the Department as described in Section 042.

The final planning document shall include all items required of the draft planning document as well

- - (4-2-08)
- A planning document that is prepared anticipating the use of federal funds shall include an b. environmental review that will require the Department approval of both a draft and final planning document. (3-29-12)

01. Planning Document. (3-29-12)A planning document shall include all items required by IDAPA 58.01.16, "Wastewater Rules," я. Subsection 411.03 or 410.04. Should the grant recipient proceed to construction using federal funds (e.g., a state

revolving fund loan), then the items listed in Subsection 030.01.b. of these rules shall be required prior to

Grant funds awarded under this program will be used entirely to prepare a wastewater treatment facility planning document. The planning document will identify the cost effective and environmentally sound alternative to achieve or maintain compliance with IDAPA 58.01.16, "Wastewater Rules," and the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C.

021. -- 029. (RESERVED)

PROJECT SCOPE AND FUNDING.

reasons for being bypassed.

05.

of these rules.

construction.

(4)

ii. as the following:

030.

IDAHO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE

a.

Department of Environmental Quality

application will be established. **Project Bypass.** A project that does not or will not meet the project target date or a Department schedule that allows for timely utilization of grant funds may be bypassed, substituting in its place the next highest ranking project(s) that are ready to proceed. An eligible applicant that is bypassed will be notified in writing of the

b. Priority Target Date. An eligible applicant whose project is on the approved priority list, and for

would affect the basis for or the need for the planning project, a reevaluation of that priority rating will be conducted.

Priority Reevaluation. Whenever significant changes occur, which in the Department's judgment

Amendment of Priority List. The Director may amend the Priority List as set forth in Section 081

which funding is available, will be contacted by the Department and a target date for submission of a completed grant $(3-2\tilde{9}-12)$

(3-29-12)

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

(3-29-12)

(3-29-12)

(3-29-12)

(3-29-12)

(3-29-12)

(3-29-12)

reuse, recapture and conservation, and energy conservation, with cost including construction, operation and maintenance, and replacement. (3-25-16)

iii. The grant recipient shall provide an opportunity for the public to comment on the draft planning document. The public comment period shall be held after alternatives have been developed and the Department has approved the draft planning document. The grant recipient shall provide written notice of the public comment period and hold at least one (1) public meeting within the jurisdiction of the grant recipient during the public comment period. At the public meeting, the draft planning document shall be presented by the grant recipient with an explanation of the alternatives identified. The cost effective and environmentally sound alternative selected shall consider public comments received from those affected by the proposed project. After the public meeting and public comment period, the final alternative will be selected and the Environmental Information Document may be prepared. (3-29-12)

c. The draft and final planning document shall bear the imprint of an Idaho licensed professional engineer's seal that is both signed and dated by the engineer. (3-29-12)

d. The draft and final planning documents must be reviewed and approved by the Department. (3-29-12)

e. The planning period shall be twenty (20) years for all facilities except for conveyance systems which may be forty (40) years. (4-2-08)

02. Limitation on Funding Assistance. The maximum grant funding provided in a state planning grant award shall not exceed fifty percent (50%) of the total eligible costs for grants awarded. (4-2-08)

031. -- 039. (RESERVED)

040. REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF GRANT APPLICATIONS.

01. Submission of Application. Those eligible systems which received high priority ranking shall be invited to submit an application. The applicant shall submit to the Department, a completed application in a form as prescribed by the Department. (5-3-03)

02. Application Requirements. Applications shall contain the following documentation as applicable: (5-3-03)

a. An authorizing resolution passed by a majority of the governing body authorizing an elected official or officer of the qualifying entity to commit funding; and (5-3-03)

b. Contracts for engineering services or other technical services, and the description of costs and tasks set forth therein shall be in sufficient detail for the Department to determine whether the costs associated with the tasks are eligible costs pursuant to Section 041. (5-3-03)

c. Planning Document. Plan of study describing the work tasks to be performed in the planning document, a schedule for completion of the work tasks and an estimate of staff hours and costs to complete the work tasks. (3-29-12)

d. Design: (4-2-08)

i. Planning document; and

ii. Intermunicipal service agreements between all qualifying entities within the scope of the project, if (4-2-08)

e. Justification for the engineering firm selected. An engineering firm selected by the applicant must (5-3-03)

(3-29-12)

i. Be procured through the selection guidelines and procedures prescribed under Section 67-2320, (5-3-03)

ii. Be a registered professional engineer currently licensed by the Idaho Board of Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors; and (5-3-03)

iii. Not be debarred or otherwise prevented from providing services under another federal or state financial assistance program; and (5-3-03)

iv. Be covered by professional liability insurance in accordance with Subsection 050.05.d. A certification of liability insurance shall be included in the application. (5-3-03)

f. A description of other costs, not included in the contracts for engineering or other technical services, for which the applicant seeks funding. The description of the costs and tasks for such costs must be in sufficient detail for the Department to determine whether the costs are eligible costs pursuant to Section 041.

(5-3-03)

g. A demonstration that the obligation to pay the costs for which funding is requested, is the result or will be the result of the applicant's compliance with applicable competitive bidding requirements and requirements for professional service contracts, including without limitation, the requirements set forth in Sections 67-2801 et seq., 67-2320, 59-1026, and 42-3212, Idaho Code. (4-2-08)

h. A statement regarding how the non-grant portion of the project will be funded. (5-3-03)

03. Determination of Completeness of Application. Applications will be reviewed to determine whether they contain all of the information required by Subsection 040.02. (5-3-03)

04. Notification of Incompleteness of Application. Written notification if an application is incomplete, including an explanation of missing documentation, will be sent to the applicant. The applicant may provide the missing documentation. (5-3-03)

05. Reapplication for Grant. The action of disapproving, recalling or terminating a grant in no way precludes or limits the former applicant from reapplying for another grant when project deficiencies are resolved and project readiness is secured, provided the applicant remains on the approved priority list. (10-6-88)

041. DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY OF COSTS.

The Department shall review the application, including any contracts required to be submitted with the application, to determine whether the costs are eligible costs for funding. (5-3-03)

01.	Eligible Costs. Eligible costs are those determined by the Department to be:	(5-3-03)
a.	Necessary costs;	(3-29-12)
b.	Reasonable costs; and	(3-29-12)
c.	Costs that are not ineligible as described in Subsection 041.05.	(4-2-08)

02. Necessary Costs. The Department shall determine whether costs are necessary by comparing the tasks for which the costs will be incurred to the scope of the project as described in the plan of study for facility planning, the planning document for design of wastewater treatment facilities, and any other relevant information in the application that describes the scope of the project to be funded. (3-29-12)

03. Reasonable Costs. Costs shall be determined by the Department to be reasonable if the obligation to pay the costs is the result of or will be the result of the applicant's compliance with applicable competitive bidding requirements and requirements for professional service contracts, including without limitation, the requirements set forth in Sections 67-2801 et seq., 67-2320, 59-1026, and 42-3212, Idaho Code. (4-2-08)

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

04. Examples of Costs That May Be Eligible. Examples of costs that may be eligible, if determined necessary, reasonable and not ineligible costs include: (5-3-03)

a. Costs of salaries, benefits, and expendable material the qualified entity incurs in the project except ordinary operating expenses such as salaries and expenses of a mayor, city council members or a city attorney; (4-2-08)

		(4-2-08)		
b.	Contracts for professional and consulting services;	(4-2-08)		
с.	Planning directly related to the water pollution control projects;	(5-3-03)		
d.	Sewer system evaluations;	(5-3-03)		
e.	Financial and management capability analysis;	(5-3-03)		
f. documents;	Preparation of construction drawings, specifications, estimates, and construction	contract (5-3-03)		
g.	Public participation for alternative selection;	(5-3-03)		
h.	Development of user charge and financial management systems;	(5-3-03)		
i.	Development of sewer use ordinance or resolution;	(4-2-08)		
j.	Staffing plans and budget development;	(5-3-03)		
k.	Certain direct and other costs as determined eligible by the Department;	(5-3-03)		
I. Costs of complying with the federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C Sections 1251 et seq., loan requirements applied to specific projects; and (4-2-08)				
m. Site acquisition services which could include legal fees, appraisals and surveys for land associated with the cost-effective alternative in the report and for purchase from a willing seller. (3-29-12)				
05.	Ineligible Project Costs. Costs which are ineligible for funding include, but are not limit	ted to: (5-3-03)		
a.	Basin or area wide planning not directly related to the project;	(5-3-03)		
b.	Personal injury compensation or damages arising out of the project;	(5-3-03)		
с.	Fines or penalties due to violations of, or failure to comply with, federal, state, or local la	uws; (5-3-03)		
d.	Costs outside the scope of the approved project;	(5-3-03)		
e. attorney, or dis	Ordinary operating expenses such as salaries and expenses of a mayor, city council men strict personnel costs and acquiring project funding; and	nbers, city (4-2-08)		
f.	Cost of refinancing existing indebtedness.	(5-3-03)		
g. award costs by	Costs incurred prior to award of the grant unless specifically approved in writing as elign the Department.	igible pre- (3-29-12)		

06. Notification Regarding Eligible Costs. Prior to providing a grant offer, the Department shall notify the applicant that certain costs are not eligible for funding and the reasons for the Department's determination. If such costs are included in the engineering contract, the Department shall also provide notification to the engineer.

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

The applicant may provide the Department additional information in response to the notice. (5-3-03)

07. Eligible Costs and the Grant Offer. The grant offer shall reflect those costs determined by the Department to be eligible costs. The grant offer, however, may include estimates of some eligible costs that have not yet been set. Actual eligible costs may differ from such estimated costs set forth in the grant offer. In addition, grant disbursements may be increased or decreased if eligible costs are modified as provided in Section 060. (4-2-08)

042. ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.

01. Environmental Documentation. The grant recipient may complete an environmental review as part of and in conjunction with a planning document. Guidance on how to complete an environmental review may be found in Chapter 5 of the Handbook. If the grant recipient prepares an environmental review, then the Department shall be consulted at an early stage in the preparation of the planning document to determine the required level of environmental review. Based on review of existing information and assessment of environmental impacts, the grant recipient may complete one (1) of the following: (3-29-12)

a. Submit a request for Categorical Exclusion (CE) with supporting backup documentation as specified by the Department; (4-2-08)

b. Prepare an Environmental Information Document (EID) in a format specified by the Department; (4-2-08)

c.

Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in a format specified by the Department.

(4-2-08)

02. Categorical Exclusion. If the grant recipient requests a CE, the Department shall review the request and, based upon the supporting documentation, take one (1) of the following actions: (3-29-12)

a. Determine if an action is consistent with categories eligible for exclusion whereupon the Department shall issue a notice of CE from further substantive environmental review. Once the CE is granted for the selected alternative, the Department shall publish a notice of CE in a local newspaper, following which the planning document can be approved; or (3-29-12)

b. Determine if the action is not consistent with categories eligible for exclusion and that issuance of a CE is not appropriate. If issuance of a CE is not appropriate, the Department shall notify the grant recipient of the need to prepare an EID. (3-29-12)

03. Environmental Information Document Requirements. When an EID is required, the grant recipient shall prepare the EID in accordance with the following Department procedures: (3-29-12)

a. Various laws and executive orders related to environmentally sensitive resources shall be considered as the EID is prepared. Appropriate state and federal agencies shall be consulted regarding these laws and executive orders. (4-2-08)

b. A full range of relevant impacts, both direct and indirect, of the proposed project shall be discussed in the EID, including measures to mitigate adverse impacts, cumulative impacts, and impacts that shall cause irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources. (4-2-08)

c. The Department shall review the draft EID and either request additional information about one (1) or more potential impacts, or draft a "finding of no significant impact" (FONSI). (4-2-08)

04. Final Finding of No Significant Impact. The Department shall publish the draft FONSI in a newspaper of general circulation in the geographical area of the proposed project and shall allow a minimum thirty (30) day public comment period. Following the required period of public review and comment, and after any public concerns about project impacts are addressed, the FONSI shall become final. The Department shall assess the effectiveness and feasibility of mitigation measures identified in the FONSI and EID prior to the issuance of the final FONSI and approval of the planning document. (3-29-12)

and

05. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Requirements. If an EIS is required, the grant recipient (3-29-12)

a. Contact all affected state agencies, and other interested parties, to determine the required scope of (4-2-08)

b. Prepare and submit a draft EIS to all interested agencies, and other interested parties, for review (4-2-08)

c. Conduct a public meeting which may be held in conjunction with a planning document meeting; (3-29-12)

d. Prepare and submit a final EIS incorporating all agency and public input for Department review (4-2-08)

06. Final EIS. Upon completion of the EIS by the grant recipient and approval by the Department of all requirements listed in Subsection 042.05, the Department shall issue a record of decision, documenting the mitigative measures which shall be required of the grant recipient. The planning document can be completed once the final EIS has been approved by the Department. (3-29-12)

07. Use of Environmental Reviews Conducted by Other Agencies. If an environmental review for the project has been conducted by another state, federal, or local agency, the Department may, at its discretion, issue its own determination by adopting the document and public notification process of the other agency. (4-2-08)

08. Validity of Review. Environmental reviews, once completed by the Department, are valid for five (5) years from the date of completion. If a grant application is received for a project with an environmental review which is more than five (5) years old, the Department shall reevaluate the project, environmental conditions, and public comments and shall: (3-29-12)

a. Reaffirm the earlier decision; or

(5-3-03)

b. Require supplemental information to the earlier Environmental Impact Statement, Environmental Information Document, or request for Categorical Exclusion. Based upon a review of the updated document, the Department shall issue and distribute a revised notice of Categorical Exclusion, finding of no significant impact, or record of decision. (5-3-03)

043. -- 049. (RESERVED)

050. GRANT OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE.

01. Grant Offer. Grant offers will be delivered to successful applicants by representatives of the Department or by registered mail. (3-15-85)

02. Acceptance of Grant Offer. Applicants have sixty (60) days in which to officially accept the grant offer on prescribed forms furnished by the State. The sixty (60) day acceptance period commences from the date indicated on the grant offer notice. If the applicant does not accept the grant offer within the sixty (60) day period the grant funds may be offered to the next project of priority. (4-2-08)

03. Acceptance Executed as a Contract Agreement. Upon signature by the Director or the Director's designee as the grantor, and upon signature by the authorized representative of the qualifying entity, as the grant recipient, the grant offer shall become a grant contract agreement. The disbursement of funds pursuant to an agreement is subject to a finding by the Director that the grant recipient has complied with all agreement conditions and has prudently managed the project. The Director may, as a condition of payment, require that a grant recipient vigorously pursue any claims it has against third parties who will be paid in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, with grant funds or transfer its claim against such third parties to the Department. Grant contract agreements shall be interpreted according to the law of grants in aid. No third party shall acquire any rights against the State or its

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

employees from a grant contract agreement.

(3-29-12)

04. Estimate of Reasonable Cost. Each grant project contract will include the eligible cost of the project. Some eligible costs may be estimated and the grant payments may be increased or decreased as provided in Section 060. (5-3-03)

05. Terms of Agreement. The grant offer shall contain terms of agreement as prescribed by the Department including, but not limited to: (3-15-85)

a. Terms consistent with these rules and consistent with the scope of the grant project; and (3-29-12)

b. Special clauses as determined necessary by the Department for the successful investigation, design, and management of the project; and (4-2-08)

c. Terms consistent with applicable state and federal laws pertaining to planning documents, and (3-29-12)

d. Requirement for the prime engineering firm(s) retained for engineering services to carry professional liability insurance to protect the public from the engineer's negligent acts and errors of omission of a professional nature. The total aggregate of the engineer's professional liability shall be one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) or twice the amount of the engineer's fee, whichever is greater. Professional liability insurance must cover all such services rendered for all project steps, whether or not such services or steps are state funded, until the certification of project performance is accepted by the Department. (4-2-08)

e. The project documents shall be in accordance with the current edition of Idaho Standards for Public Works Construction (ISPWC) unless the grant recipient otherwise has approved and adopted acceptable public works construction standards approved by the Department. (3-29-12)

051. -- 059. (RESERVED)

060. PAYMENTS.

01. **Payments for State Grants**. Requests for payment will be submitted to the Department on a form provided by the Department. The Department will pay for those costs that are determined to be eligible. (5-3-03)

02. Limitations on Advance Payments. Advanced payment will not be made on a project unless a written request from the grant recipient for a waiver is approved by the Board. (3-29-12)

03. Grant Increases. Grant amendment increase requests as a result of an increase in eligible project costs will be considered, provided funds are available. Documentation and justification supporting the unavoidable need for a grant increase must be submitted to the Department for approval prior to incurring any costs above the approved eligible cost ceiling. (3-15-85)

04. Increases for Bid Underestimates. Increases for bid underestimates may be considered for grant increase; however, errors of omission or engineering consultant errors will not be considered. (10-6-88)

05. Grant Decreases. If the actual eligible cost is determined to be lower than the estimated eligible cost the grant amount will be reduced proportionately. (3-15-85)

06. Final Project Review to Determine Actual Eligible Costs. The Department may conduct a final project review to determine the actual eligible costs. The financial records of the grant recipient may be reviewed by the Department. (3-29-12)

07. Final Payment. The final payment consisting of five percent (5%) of the total state grant will not be made until the requirements contained in the grant agreement have been satisfied. (3-29-12)

061. -- 079. (RESERVED)

IDAPA 58.01.04 - Rules for Administration of Wastewater Treatment Facility Grants

080. SUSPENSION OR TERMINATION OF GRANT.

01. Causes. The Director may suspend or terminate any grant for failure by the grantee or its agents, including its engineering firm(s), contractor(s) or subcontractor(s) to perform. A grant may be suspended or terminated for good cause including, but not limited to, the following: (5-3-03)

a. Commission of fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, misrepresentation, conversion, malpractice, misconduct, malfeasance, misfeasance, falsification or unlawful destruction of records, or receipt of stolen property, or any form of tortious conduct; or (3-15-85)

b. Commission of any crime for which the maximum sentence includes the possibility of one (1) or more years imprisonment or any crime involving or affecting the project; or (3-6-85)

Violation(s) of any term of agreement of the grant offer or contract agreement; or (3-15-85)

d. Any willful or serious failure to perform within the scope of the project; or (4-2-08)

e. Debarment of a contractor or subcontractor for good cause by any federal or state agency from working on public work projects funded by that agency. (3-15-85)

02. Notice. The Director will notify the grantee in writing and by certified mail of the intent to suspend or terminate the grant. The notice of intent shall state: (3-15-85)

a. Specific acts or omissions which form the basis for suspension or termination; and (3-15-85)

b. That the grantee may be entitled to appeal the suspension or termination pursuant to IDAPA 58.01.23, "Rules of Administrative Procedure Before the Board of Environmental Quality." (3-15-02)

03. Determination. A determination will be made by the Board pursuant to IDAPA 58.01.23, "Rules of Administrative Procedure Before the Board of Environmental Quality." (3-15-02)

04. **Reinstatement of Suspended Grant**. Upon written request by the grantee and evidence that the causes(s) for suspension no longer exist, the Director may, if funds are available reinstate the grant. (3-15-85)

05. Reinstatement of Terminated Grant. No terminated grant shall be reinstated. (3-15-85)

081. WAIVERS.

c.

Waivers from the requirements of these rules may be granted by the Department on a case-by-case basis upon full demonstration by the entity requesting the waiver that: (10-6-88)

01. Public Health Hazard. A significant public health hazard exists; or (10-6-88)

02. Groundwater Contamination. A significant groundwater contamination problem exists; or (10-6-88)

03. Point Source Pollution. A significant point source of pollution exists, causing a violation of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality rules, IDAPA 58.01.02, "Water Quality Standards." (4-2-08)

082. -- 999. (RESERVED)

Subject Index

D

Definitions IDAPA 58.01.04 2 Applicant 2 Board 2 Categorical Exclusion (CE) 3 Collector Sewer 3 Department 3 Director 3 Domestic Wastewater 3 Eligible Costs 3 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) 3 **Environmental Information** Document (EID) 3 Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) 3 Grant Recipient 3 Handbook 3 Ineligible Costs 3 Interceptor Sewer 3 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System 3 Nondomestic Wastewater 3 Operation & Maintenance 3 Person 3 Planning Document 4 Point Source 4 Pollutant 4 Priority List 4 Qualifying Entity 4 Rehabilitation 4 Reserve Capacity 4 Sewer Use Ordinance 4 State 4 Suspension 4 Termination 4 Treatment Plant 4 User Charge System 4 Wastewater 5 Wastewater Treatment Facility 5 Determination Of Eligibility Of Costs 8 Eligible Costs 8 Eligible Costs & the Grant Offer 10 Examples of Costs That May Be Eligible 9 Ineligible Project Costs 9 Necessary Costs 8 Notification Regarding Eligible Costs 9 Reasonable Costs 8

Е

Environmental Review 10 Categorical Exclusion 10 Environmental Documentation 10 Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Requirements 11 Environmental Information Document Requirements 10 Final EIS 11 Final Finding of No Significant Impact 10 Use of Environmental Reviews Conducted by Other Agencies 11 Validity of Review 11

G

Grant Offer & Acceptance 11 Acceptance Executed as a Contract Agreement 11 Acceptance of Grant Offer 11 Estimate of Reasonable Cost 12 Grant Offer 11 Terms of Agreement 12

]

Payments 12 Final Payment 12 Final Project Review to Determine Actual Eligible Costs 12 Grant Decreases 12 Grant Increases 12 Increases for Bid Underestimates 12 Limitations on Advance Payments 12 Payments for State Grants 12 Priority Rating System 5 Amendment of Priority List 6 Priority List 5 Priority Rating 5 Priority Reevaluation 6 Priority Target Date 6 Project Bypass 6 Purpose 5 Rating Forms 5 Project Scope & Funding 6 Limitation on Funding Assistance 7 Planning Document 6

R

Review & Evaluation Of Grant
Applications 7
Application Requirements 7
Determination of Completeness of
Application 8
Notification of Incompleteness of
Application 8
Reapplication for Grant 8
Submission of Application 7

S

Suspension Or Termination Of Grant 13 Causes 13 Determination 13 Notice 13 Reinstatement of Suspended Grant 13 Reinstatement of Terminated Grant 13 Sustainability 4

W

Waivers 13 Groundwater Contamination 13 Point Source Pollution 13 Public Health Hazard 13